OSU District Plan 2015-2025
Community and Technical Advisory Committee (CTAC)
Meeting Summary and Action Items

DATE | July 10, 2014 4:00 PM
---|---
CHAIR | David Dodson
ATTENDEES | Gary Angelo, Kavinda Arthenayake, BA Beierle, Bill Callender, Randy Chakerian, Courtney Cloyd, Drew Desilet, Jon Dolan, Charlyn Ellis, Greg Gescher, John Gremmels, Joe Majeski, Bruce Marbin, Patrick Robinson, Kevin Young
ABSENT | Denson Chatfield, Rebecca Houghtaling, Kirk Pawlowski, Todd Phelps, Brandon Trelstad, Brian Wall
VISITORS | None

1. Introductions for New Members

David Dodson welcomed committee members and asked everyone to introduce themselves. There were two new members assigned to the CTAC this past month. The first was Denson Chatfield the new Director of Public Safety. He replaced Chuck Yutzie who was assigned as interim Director of Public Safety. The second was Drew Desilet, the coordinator at the Associated Students at OSU (ASOSU).

2. Draft Mission, Vision, and Guiding Principles

Following review of the District Plan’s Draft Mission, Vision, and Guiding Principles, the CTAC members made the following suggestions:

1. **OSU Mission** – Page 1, BA suggested the second line that states “...the people of Oregon...” should also address “locally”. There was also additional discussion about concerns if any changes were made to this section that is should receive the blessing of the administration who originally approved it.

2. **Provide Access for All** – Page 3, Bruce Marbin suggested this section should include a reference to “people with disabilities”.

3. **Develop a Vibrant, Walkable Campus** – Page 3, concern about the words “takes advantage” in the second line. Also a suggestion that the word “assets” in the second line be clarified to “the Corvallis community asset”.

3. Update on Scenario Planning

David indicated that following the May Scenarios Planning Workshop, two additional development scenarios were developed, one by the University Capital Planning and Development staff and the other by Kittelson and Associates. Several additional iterations were also developed so that a total of 12 different scenarios were created. The consultants
were now boiling the 12 scenarios down to 3 uniquely distinct scenarios. These 3 scenarios will be used by the consultant to create two development alternatives.

Courtney expressed concerns about the ongoing scenario planning efforts. He felt that 2 alternatives was not enough and that perhaps 4 or 5 should be developed. He also felt the public should be able to weigh in on the advantages and disadvantages of the alternatives. He also was uncomfortable not knowing the criteria that the consultants were using to evaluate the alternatives.

Kevin noted there are now tools available for obtaining on-line feedback as a means of soliciting comments from the public.

Gary asked if there was going to be any vetting of the assumptions that went into the planning efforts such as the 1.2 million additional gross square feet of new building in the next 10 years. How does OSU determine their fair share of meeting the governors 20-20-40 plan?

David offered to check with his Director to determine what is fixed and beyond CTAC’s purview in this process and what can be negotiated. Examples include 10-year enrollment projections and future buildings.

4. Discuss July Work Schedule and Upcoming Activities

University Land Use Planning staff are working on drafting various sections of the District Plan. This month OSU will be issuing a planning and transportation RFP to consultants interested in assisting the University with completing the transportation components of the District Plan.

5. Update on District Plan Newsletter

David mentioned the District Plan Newsletter was ready for distribution; however some members of the Steering Committee had concerns about its content. Once edits are made OSU expects to distribute it by the end of July.

David explained to CTAC members that OSU has developed a District Plan list serve as a means of informing interested parties about current and upcoming activities associated with the District Plan. He asked CTAC members if they preferred receiving e-mail links to the information or to search the web or the District Plan website. CTAC members expressed a preference to be notified using the list serve, similar to how the collaboration project was managed. Members felt transparency was very important for an organization like OSU. There was also a suggestion to provide updates in OSU Today for informing the campus community.

6. Review June 12, 2014 meeting summary

There was a suggested spelling correction to change Ghent to Ghant on the second page under “Work Schedule and Upcoming Activities”. After closer inspection it was determined that the original spelling was correct.
7. Other Business

Bill asked where the 10-minute walk between classes came from and why OSU still uses it as a standard.

David noted it has been around for a long time and explained that is wasn’t so much a standard as it was a given.

John Gremmels indicated the 10-minute class change was revisited during design of the new classroom building and it was determined that no change was warranted.

David offered to check with the registrar to see if modifications have ever been considered. He also offered to check with a few peer institutions to see how they manage their breaks between classes.

Jon Dolan shared a copy of the *Report on Oregon Agricultural College* that was prepared by the Olmstead Brothers in 1909, essentially the first Campus Master Plan. He found it to be informative and suggested other CTAC members read it. He said he would send David a link so that it can be disseminated to the other members.

8. Action Items

**David** – David to check with his Director and the administration to determine what is fixed and outside CTAC’s purview in this process and what can be negotiated. Examples include 10-year enrollment projections and future buildings.

**David** – David to check with the registrar to see if modifications to the 10-minute break between classes have ever been considered. Also check with a few peer institutions to see how they manage their break between classes.

**David** – Disseminate the *Report on Oregon Agricultural College* that was prepared by the Olmstead Brothers in 1909, essentially the first formal Campus Master Plan.

Next meeting August 14, 2014

Meeting Adjourned 5:25 pm